Committee: Planning Committee

Date: 5 April 2023

Title: Quality of Major Applications

Author: Dean Hermitage

Purpose

1. To report to Planning Committee the applications that have been considered both as Delegated and at Planning Committee which contribute to the data considered by DHLUC as to whether a Local Planning Authority falls within the criteria to be designated.

- 2. There are four criteria where a Local Planning Authority may be designated Quality Major; Quality Speed; Quality Non-Major and Speed Non-Major.
- 3. This report specifically considers the Quality of Major Applications and covers the period 2017 2023. The Quality of Major Applications is for decisions made within a two-year period with appeal decisions up to and including the 31 December of the two-year period.
- **4.** Therefore, the periods covered in this report are as follows:
 - April 2017 March 2019 (appeal decisions made by 31/12/2019)
 - April 2018 March 2020 (appeal decisions made by 31/12/2020)
 - April 2019 March 2021 (appeal decisions made by 31/12/2021)
 - April 2020 March 2022 (appeal decisions made by 31/12/2022)
 - April 2021 March 2023 (appeal decisions made by 31/12/2023)
- 5. The Planning Advisory Service provided each Local Authority with a 'Crystal Ball' (basically a spreadsheet) where the data can be added each month/quarter to monitor whether there is any risk of designation.
- 6. Below shows the periods 2017 2019; 2018 2020 and 2019 2021 annually with the overall two-year period % as per the DHLUC monitoring periods.

Criteria: Quality	District matter Majors						
	All Major Decisions	Refusals	Appeals	Dismissed	Allowed	Pending	Result
Apr 2017 - Mar 2018	37	9	1	0	1	0	2.7%
Apr 2018- Mar 2019	39	20	16	8	6	2*	15.38%
Total for 2017 - 2019							9.21%
Apr 2018 - Mar 2019	39	20	16	9	7	0	17.95%
Apr 2019- Mar 2020	40	26	18	8	6	4**	15%
Total for 2018 - 2020							16.5%
Apr 2019 - Mar 2020	40	26	18	9	9	0	22.50%
Apr 2020- Mar 2021	34	12	9	4	4	1***	11.76%
Total for 2019 - 2021	74	38	27	13	13	1*	17.57%
	Minimum level required						10.00%

^{*}Pending decision falls outside of the criteria window of appeal decision made by 31/12/2019.

^{**}Pending decisions fell outside of the criteria window of appeal decisions made by 31/12/2020.

^{***}Pending decisions fell outside of the criteria window of appeal decisions made by 31/12/2021.

7. Below shows the period 2020 - 2022 quarterly.

			Incomplete Data					
		All Major Decisions	Refusals	Appeals	Dismissed	Allowed	Pending	Result
Quarter 01	Apr - Jun 2020	11	2	1	1	0	0	0.00%
Quarter 02	July - Sept 2020	8	2	2	0	2	0	25.00%
Quarter 03	Oct - Dec 2020	4	3	2	1	1	0	25.00%
Quarter 04	Jan - Mar 2021	11	5	4	2	2	0	18.18%
Quarter 05	Apr - Jun 2021	5	4	2	2	0	0	0.00%
Quarter 06	July - Sept 2021	5	2	1	0	1	0	20.00%
Quarter 07	Oct - Dec 2021	16	9	5	1	1	3	6.25%
Quarter 08	Jan - Mar 2022	8	4	2		1	1	12.50%
	total	68	31	19	7	8	4	11.76%
Minimum level required					10.00%			

Any appeal decisions received from 01 Jan 2023 are not included in this designation period.

Criteria: Quality		District matter Majors						
		All Major Decisions	Refusals	Appeals	Dismissed	Allowed	Pending	Result
Quarter 01	Apr - Jun 2021	5	4	2	2	0	0	0.00%
Quarter 02	Jul - Sept 2021	5	2	1	0	1	0	20.00%
Quarter 03	Oct - Dec 2021	16	9	5	1	3	1	18.75%
Quarter 04	Jan - Mar 2022	8	4	2		1	1	12.50%
Quarter 05	Apr - Jun 2022	7	2	1	0	0	1	0.00%
Quarter 06	July - Sept 2022	11	1	0				0.00%
Quarter 07	Oct - Dec 2022	15	5	4			4	0.00%
Quarter 08	Jan - Mar 2023	6	2					0.00%
	total	73	29	15	3	5	7	6.85%

Minimum level required

10.00%

8 Cost of appeals per year*

Year	Legal including Awards of Costs	Consultants
2017 - 2018	£102,660	£33,697
2018 - 2019	£ 21,325	£10,241
2019 - 2020	£182,013	£78,776
2020 - 2021	£144,117	£70,481
2021 - 2022	£129,453	£152,057
2022 - 2023	£306,407.36 (to 23/12/2022)	£139,094.32 (to 23/12/2022)

^{*}Not including the Stansted Airport Inquiry.

Please note that Inquiry/Hearing cost may not be held in the same financial year as the application decision.

Recommendation

9. It is recommended that the Committee notes this report for information.

Impact

Communication/Consultation	Planning Committee
Community Safety	None
Equalities	None
Health & Safety	None
B: 1. //	N.
Human Rights/Legal	None
Implications	
Sustainability	None
Ward-specific impacts	None
Workforce/Workplace	None

Risk Analysis

Risk	Likelihood	Impact	Mitigating actions
3	3	3	Action Plan &
			Pathway work

^{1 =} Little or no risk or impact

^{2 =} Some risk or impact - action may be necessary

^{3 =} Significant risk or impact - action required

⁴⁼ Near certainty of risk occurring, catastrophic effect or failure of project